A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Paz-Valiñas L, Maceira-Rozas MC, Varela-Lema L. [Nucleolysis percutaneous laser disc decompression]. Red Española de Agencias de Evaluación de Tecnologías Sanitarias y Prestaciones del SNS. Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; 2016. Aviable: http://avalia-t.sergas.es/DXerais/652/01_avalia-t_NucleolisisDiscal_DEF.pdf				
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t				
Name of person completing the checklist	Lucinda Paz Valiñas				
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es				

		'						ı	ı
Item							Yes	Partly	No
Preliminary									
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							Χ		
2. Authors identified?							X		
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?						Χ			
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?						Χ			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									Х
Why?									
6. Reference to the	he policy	/ question	that is addresse	ed?			Χ		
7. Reference to the	he resea	arch ques	tion that is addre	ssed?			Χ		
8. Scope of the a	ssessm	ent specif	ied?				Χ		
9. Description of	the asse	essed hea	Ith technology?				Χ		
How?									
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on and literature	search	strategies p	rovide	d?		
Search strategy	Data	bases	Year range		anguage estriction	F	rimary data		
			9					resources	
YES		ES	2016-2016		NO		YES		NO
Complete reference of included stu		ovel	List of uded studies		Inclusion criteria			Exclusion	
YES	idles	exci	YES		YES		criteria YES		
11. Information o	n hasis t	for the as		terpreta		ted da	nta and i		
Method of da			al appraisal		Method of dat		Results of the		
extraction descr	ibed?	metho	od (for quality	synt	thesis describ	oed?	assessment clearly presented, eg, in the for of evidence tables?		
			ssment of the re) described?						
YES		morata	YES		YES		Oi Cvide		abio0.
Context?	ļ			I.			1		
12. Information o	n contex	ct (may or	may not apply to e	ach HT	A).				
(Medico-) legal				Socia	l	Other perspectives			
implications		nalysis	implication		implication		(stakeholders, patients,		
considered?	pr	ovided?	considere NO	ed?	consider	ed?	consumers) considered?		
NO NO		NO	NO		NO		Voc		Na
What then?						Yes	Partly	No	
13. Findings of th	ne asses	sment dis	scussed?				Х		

14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	X	
15. Suggestions for further action?	Х	